Cryptography Conference

NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Update

In August 2024, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) reached a pivotal moment by releasing the first three finalized Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) standards: FIPS 203, FIPS 204, and FIPS 205. These standards mark the beginning of a new era in cryptography, designed to protect against the future threat of quantum computing. In this presentation, Mr. Andrew Regenscheid, Manager Cryptographic Technology Group at NIST, will provide an in-depth update on the newly established FIPS PQC standards. He will also discuss the ongoing efforts to standardize additional cryptographic algorithms, ensuring preparedness for potential vulnerabilities in the current standards. Mr. Bill Newhouse, a cybersecurity engineer and Project Lead at the NIST National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE), will explain the urgency of transitioning to these new quantum-resistant cryptographic standards. He will also share practical strategies and best practices to facilitate the migration from existing publickey cryptographic systems to these next-generation standards.

Bill Newhouse

Cybersecurity Engineer & Project Lead, National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE) at NIST

Andrew Regenscheid

Manager Cryptographic Technology Group at NIST











January 15 and 16, 2025 - Austin, TX (US) | Online

PKI Consortium Inc. is registered as a 501(c)(6) non-profit entity ("business league") under Utah law (10462204-0140) | pkic.org



Post-Quantum Cryptography

Andy Regenscheid, Manager Cryptographic Technology Group, NIST



Quantum Threat



- Quantum computers threaten the security of current, widely-deployed public key cryptosystems
 - o Signatures-ECDSA, RSA
 - o Key Establishment–Diffie-Hellman, RSA
- Quantum computers changed what we have believed about the hardness of mathematical problems that underpin cryptography
 - By Shor's algorithm, factorization and discrete logarithm problems can be solved by quantum computers in polynomial time
- Quantum computing also impacts security strength of symmetric key based cryptography algorithms – manageable by increasing key size
 - o Grover's algorithm provides quadratic speedup



Post Quantum Cryptography (PQC)

- PQC has been a very active research area in the past two decades
- Some actively researched PQC categories include
 - o Lattice-based
 - Code-based
 - Multivariate
 - Hash/Symmetric key-based signatures
 - Elliptic curve isogeny-based





PQC Process



NIST PQC Standards – Milestones and Timeline NIST

2010-2015- NIST PQC project team builds & First PQC Conference

- 2016 Determined criteria and requirements, Call for proposals
 - 2017- Received 82 submissions, 69 First Round candidates
 - 2018– 1st NIST PQC Standardization Conference
 - **2019 –** Announced **26 Second Round candidates** Released NISTIR 8240 Held the 2nd NIST PQC Standardization Conference
 - **2020–** Announced **7** *finalists* & **8** *alternate candidates* Released NISTIR 8309
 - **2021–** Hold 3rd NIST PQC Standardization Conference
 - **2022–** Announced Initial Selections for Standardization & 4th Round Candidates Held 4th NIST PQC Standardization Conference
 - 2023 Release draft standards and call for public comments

2024- Release Initial Final Standards





Standards



The first Set of NIST PQC Standards



FIPS 203 Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism Standard (Based on CRYSTALS-Kyber)

- A module learning with errors (MLWE)-based key encapsulation mechanism (KEM)
- Good performance in different platforms
- An algorithm for key establishment in security protocols

FIPS 204 Module-Lattice-Based Digital Signature Standard (Based on CRYSTALS-Dilithium)

- A lattice-based digital signature algorithm based on the Fiat-Shamir paradigm
- Good performance, simple implementation, moderate public-key and signature size, suitable for general applications

FIPS 205 Stateless Hash-Based Digital Signature Standard (Based on SPHINCS+)

- Not require to keep track of any state between signatures
- Solid security, signatures are longer compared with ML-DSA

FIPS 206 FFT-Over-NTRU-Lattice-Based Digital Signature Standard (Based on FALCON, *under development*)

- Hash and sign paradigm
- Smaller bandwidth and fast verification but more complicated implementation

Published August 2024!

PQC Signatures – Performance



PQC Standards

NIST

PQC Signatures- Performance- SLH-DSA



PQC Key and Signature Sizes



| Scheme | Public Key (bytes) | Private Key (bytes) | Signature (bytes) | Security Level | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| RSA-3072 | 384 | 384 | 384 | Classical-128 | |
| ECDSA-P256 | 64 | 32 | 256 | Classical-128 | |
| ML-DSA-44 (Dilithium2) | 1312 | 2528 | 2420 | PQC Category 2 (SHA3-256) | |
| ML-DSA-65 (Dilithium3) | 1952 | 4000 | 3293 | PQC Category 3 (AES-192) | |
| ML-DSA-87 (Dilithium5) | 2592 | 4864 | 4595 | PQC Category 5 (AES-256) | |
| FN-DSA-512 (Falcon512) | 897 | 7553 | 666 | PQC Category 1 (AES-128) | |
| FN-DSA-1024 (Falcon1024) | 1793 | 13953 | 1280 | PQC Category 5 (AES-256) | |

A bit much to chew?



TLS & WebPKI Certificate Signatures

- Server Certificate: 1 public key and signature, 2 SCT signatures
- Intermediate CA Certificate: 1 public key and signature
- TLS Handshake: 1 signature
- o ML-DSA-44 → 14,724 bytes
- Current Quantum-Vulnerable → 1,248 bytes
- ML-KEM-768 key shares
 - \circ Client → Server: 1,184 bytes
 - Server → Client: 1,088 bytes
- Why does this matter?
 - *TCP initial congestion window* limits the first wave of messages
 - Typical default: ~14,600 bytes
- Without protocol/implementation changes, this could slow web connection establishment

TLS 1.3 Handshake



• Hybrid: using classical and PQC algorithms together

- $\circ~$ A hybrid mode combines a classical algorithm with a PQC algorithm
- Reduces risks from uncertainty if either is broken
- More complexity / slower performance
- o Can get FIPS 140 validation
- More guidance to come in SP 800-227
- Several approaches to hybrid KEMs and certificates
 - Composite approaches
 - o Non-composite hybrid approaches
- Use of hybrid will depend on community and applicationspecific needs
 - NIST does not intend to recommend for/against hybrid schemes
 - Implementers should consider complexity and migration issues
- Architectures /applications may support multiple algorithms







Migration



USG Migration



MAY 04, 2022

National Security Memorandum on Promoting United States Leadership in Quantum Computing While Mitigating Risks to Vulnerable Cryptographic Systems

BRIEFING ROOM > STATEMENTS AND RELEASES

Excerpt from NSM-10:

"Mitigating the Risks to Encryption. ... To mitigate this risk, the United States must prioritize the timely and equitable transition of cryptographic systems to quantum-resistant cryptography, with the goal of mitigating as much of the quantum risk as is feasible by 2035."

Migration Considerations





NIST IR 8547, Transition to PQC Standards



- Initial Public Draft released November 12th
 - o Comment period ended January 10th
- Identifies quantum-vulnerable standards
 - Key establishment based on Diffie-Hellman and MQV over finite field and elliptic curves (SP 800-56A)
 - Key establishment based on RSA (SP 800-56B)
 - Digital signatures include RSA, ECDSA, EdDSA (FIPS 186-4)
- Proposed transition timelines for quantum-vulnerable algorithms
 - 112-bit security strength deprecated after 2030, disallowed after 2035
 - \circ 128-bit and higher security strength disallowed after 2035
- NIST-approved symmetric primitives providing at least 128 bits of classical security continue to be approved

Submit comments to: pqc-transition@nist.gov

NIST Internal Report NIST IR 8547 ipd

Transition to Post-Quantum Cryptography Standards

Initial Public Draft

Dustin Moody Ray Perlner Andrew Regenscheid Angela Robinson David Cooper

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8547.ipd



Updates on the NIST NCCoE Migration to Post-Quantum Cryptography Project

Bill Newhouse – NCCoE Cybersecurity Engineer william.newhouse@nist.gov

January 15, 2025



nccoe.nist.gov

Milestones and Timeline



NIST POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHIC Standardization



The NCCOE – MIGRATION TO PQC -AN APPLIED RESEARCH PROJECT

NIST REPORT NATIONAL CYBERSECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

- Complement NIST PQC standardization effort
- Support/Inform US Government PQC initiatives (White House NSM-10, M-23-02)
- Tackle challenges with adoption, implementation, and deployment of PQC
- Engage with the community including industry collaborators and across government to bring awareness and education to the issues involved in migrating to post-quantum algorithms
- Coordinate with standard developing organizations and government and industry sectors community to develop guidance to accelerate the migration
- Leverage automated tools to discover use of quantum vulnerable cryptography within an organization in hardware, firmware, software, protocols, and services and use a risk-based approach to prioritize migration to PQC algorithms
- Perform interoperability and performance demonstrations across different technology and protocols to include TLS, QUIC, SSH, code signing, public key certificates, hardware security modules, etc.



MIGRATION TO POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

The National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE) is collaborating with stakeholders in the public and private sectors to bring awareness to the challenges involved in migrating from the current set of public-key cryptographic algorithms to quantum-resistant algorithms. This fact sheet provides an overview of the Migration to Post-Quantum Cryptography project, including background, goal, challenges, and potential benefits.

GOAL

supporting technologie

BENEFITS

BACKGROUND

The advent of quantum computing technology will render many The initial scope of this project will include engaging industry t demonstrate the use of automated discovery tools to identify f the current cryptographic algorithms ineffective, especially public-key cryptography, which is widely used to protect digital instances of quantum-vulnerable public-key algorithm use, when they are used in dependent systems, and for what purposes. formation. Most algorithms on which we depend are used vorldwide in components of many different communications Once the public-key cryptography components and associated ocessing, and storage systems. Once access to practical quantu assets in the enterprise are identified, the next project element mouters becomes available, all public-key algorithms and ritizing those applications that need to be considered first i ated protocols will be vulnerable to adversaries. It is essential migration planning. to begin planning for the replacement of hardware, software, and Finally, the project will describe systematic approaches fo rvices that use public-key algorithms now so that information i nigrating from vulnerable algorithms to quantum-resistar itected from future attack algorithms across different types of organizations, assets, and

CHALLENGES

Organizations are often unaware of the breadth and scope of application and function dependencies on public-key cryptog-

- application and function dependencies on public-key cryptorraphy. Many, or most, of the cryptographic products, protocol, and services on which we depend will need to be replaced or significant.
- altered when post-guantum replacements become available. Intrimis are using used on their minoritation systems Information systems are not typically designed to encourse supporting rapid adaptations of new cryptographic primitives and algorithms without making significant changes to the syslement/Juddating hardware, of www.and services that using a service share to a service share to

erability among organization

supporting rapid adaptations of new cryptographic primitives placement/updating lardwares, software, and services that us PC-vinerable public-very adjornthms protecting the conditional tailenges for organizations. The new supporting developers of products that us PC-vinerable public-very vintographic products that us PC-vintographic products as lagury algorithms due to differences is key products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their product products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraints that may affect use of their products products and constraint

vulnerable algorithms to quantum-resistant algorithms.
WNLOAD PROJECT DESCRIPTION
fact sheets provides a high-level overview of the
giet. To learn more, visit the project page:
bs://www.nscoe.nist.gov/crypto-aelity-considerationstanine-nost-namine-runtarearable-aleorithms.

process complexity, etc. A truly significant challenge will be to

maintain connectivity and inter-

and organizational elements during the transi



As a private-public partnership, we are always seeking insights from businesses, the public, and technology vendors. If you have questions about this project or would like to join the project's Community of Interest, please email <u>applied-crypto-paceInist.gov</u>.

Migration to PQC Project Collaborators



- Amazon Web Services, Inc.
- ATIS
- Cisco Systems, Inc.
- Comcast
- Crypto4A Technologies, Inc.
- CryptoNext Security
- Federal: Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA)
- Data-Warehouse GbmH
- Dell Technologies
- DigiCert
- Entrust
- GDIT
- Gutsy
- HP, Inc.

- HSBC
- IDEMIA Secure Transactions
- IBM
- Information Security Corporation
- InfoSec Global
- ISARA Corporation
- JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
- Keyfactor
- Kudelski loT
- Microsoft
- M&T Bank
- Federal: National Security Agency (NSA)
- NXP Semiconductors
- Palo Alto Networks

- Post-Quantum
- PQShield
- QuantumXChange
- SafeLogic, Inc.
- Samsung SDS Co., Ltd.
- SandboxAQ
- Santander
- Siemens
- SSH Communications Security Corp
- Thales DIS CPL USA, Inc.
- Thales Trusted Cyber Technologies
- Utimaco
- Verizon
- wolfSSL

DRAFT NIST SPECIAL PUBLICATION 1800-38

Moving volumes into one NIST Special Publication 1800-38 to be hosted on pages.nist.gov

 Example: NIST SP 1800-35 https://pages.nist.gov/zero-trustarchitecture/)

Initial Public Draff NIST SP 1800-38B (Dec 2023) Quantum Readiness: Cryptographic Discovery

Demonstration of collaborator cryptographic discovery and inventory tools

Initial Public Draft NIST SP 1800-38C (Dec 2023) Quantum Readiness: Testing Draft and Final Standards for Interoperability and Performance

- Explore interoperability issues in a controlled, non-production environment
- Reduction of time spent by individual organizations performing similar interoperability testing for their own PQC migration efforts



WORKSTREAMS



- Update earlier tests with standardized PQC
 algorithms parameters (X.509, HSMs, TLS, SSH)
- VPN (PQC -only and hybrid modes of the IKEv2 Key Exchange
- IPsec
- DNSSEC
- Smart Card/PIV...



A RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK CYBERSECURITY FRAMEWORK (CSF) 2.0





DATA CENTRIC CRYPTO RISK MANAGEMENT APPROACH





Crypto-Agility



Next Steps



PQC Standards- Next Steps



- ML-KEM, ML-DSA, & SLH-DSA finalized on August 13
- Draft **FN-DSA** (Falcon) standard under development
- NIST plans to make 4th round KEM selection in 2024
 - Classic McEliece
 - o BIKE
 - HQC
 - ⊖<mark>──SIKE</mark>
- NIST called for additional signatures in 2022 to evaluate general-purpose signatures based on diversified math problems
 - 14 algorithms were selected for a second round



Recommendations & FIPS 140 Testing



- NIST is actively working on Special Publications to provide recommendations for the usage of PQC standards in applications, e.g.,
 - SP 800-227 Recommendations for key-*encapsulation mechanisms* to use KEM in key establishment protocols
- NIST provided guidance for transition in the past (SP 800-131A) and will provide PQC transition guidance
- NIST CAVP is already testing new PQC algorithms for FIPS 140 validation



PQC– Much Work Remains





NIST

Initial Public Draft NIST Cybersecurity Whitepaper (CWSP 39) Considerations for Achieving Crypto Agility

Crypto agility refers to the capabilities needed to replace and adapt cryptographic schemes in protocols, applications, software, hardware, and infrastructures.

This white paper provides an in-depth survey of • current approaches to achieving crypto agility. It discusses challenges and tradeoffs and identifies some approaches for providing operational mechanisms to achieve crypto agility while maintaining interoperability.

- Transition Challenges
- Crypto Agility for Security Protocols
- Crypto Agility in Systems for Applications
- Governance
- Discussions:
 - Resource Considerations
 - Agility Awareness Designs
 - Crypto Agility in the Cloud
 - Maturity Assessment for Crypto Agility
 - Strategic Planning
 - Security Policy Enforcement
 - Complexity and Security
 - Environment Specific Agility Requirements

Questions





Contact Information

Andrew Regenscheid, Cryptographic Technology Group

Email: <u>Andrew.Regenscheid@nist.gov</u>

Bill Newhouse, National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence

Email: <u>William.Newhouse@nist.gov</u>

NIST PQC standardization

www.nist.gov/pqcrypto Sign up for *pqc-forum* mailing list **Email:** <u>pqc-comments@nist.gov</u>

NCCoE PQC Migration Project

www.nccoe.nist.gov/applied-cryptography Request to join Community of Interest Email: applied-crypto-pqc@nist.gov

ML-KEM Sizes



| Scheme | Public Key (bytes) | Private Key (bytes) | Ciphertext (bytes) | Security Level |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| RSA-3072 | 384 | 384 | 384 | Classical-128 |
| ECDH-P256 | 64 | 32 | | Classical-128 |
| ML-KEM-512 (Kyber512) | 800 | 1632 | 768 | PQC Category 1 (AES-128) |
| ML-KEM-768 (Kyber768) | 1184 | 2400 | 1088 | PQC Category 3 (AES-192) |
| ML-KEM-1024 (Kyber1024) | 1568 | 3168 | 1568 | PQC Category 5 (AES-256) |

Migration–How Organizations Can Prepare

NIST

• Establish a Quantum-Readiness Roadmap

• Project management team to plan and scope the migration to PQC

• Prepare an Inventory of Cryptography and Assets

- o Identity protocols/applications/devices that use vulnerable cryptography
- o Identify high-value data requiring long-term secrecy

Discuss PQC Roadmaps with Vendors

Develop a Migration Strategy

- Prioritize high-impact systems, ICSs, and those requiring long-term secrecy
- o Integrate with technology modernization/refresh efforts
- Prepare to rearchitect, rebuild, or replace legacy applications/systems
- Validate and Test Systems
- Educate and Train Staff

QUANTUM-READINESS: MIGRATION TO POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY





BACKGROUND

The Ophersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), the National Security Agency (NSA), and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) created this factsheet to inform organizations – especially those that support <u>Critical Infrastructure</u> – about the impacts of quantum capabilities, and to encourage the early planning for migration to post-quantum cryptographic standards by developing a Quantum-Readiness Roadmap. NIST is working to publish the first set of post-quantum cryptographic (PQC) standards, to be released in 2024, to protect against future, potentially adversarial, cryptanalytically-relevant quantum computer (CRQC) capabilities. A CRQC would have the potential to break public-key systems (sometimes referred to as asymmetric cryptograph); that are used to protect information systems today.

WHY PREPARE NOW?

A successful post-quantum cryptography migration will take time to plan and conduct. CISA, NSA, and NIST urge organizations to begin preparing now by creating quantum-readiness roadmaps, conducting inventories, applying risk assessments and analysis, and engaging vendors. Early planning is necessary as cyber threat actors could be targeting data today that would still require protection in the future (or in other words, has a long secrecy lifetime), using a catch now, break later or harvest now, decrypt later operation. Many of the cryptographic products, protocols, and services used today that rely on public key algorithms (e.g., Rivest-Shamir-Adleman [RSA], Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman [ECDH], and Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm [ECDSA]) will need to be updated, replaced, or significantly altered to employ quantum-resistart PQC algorithms, to protect against this future threat. Organizations are encouraged to proactively prepare for future migration to products implementing the post-quantum cryptographic standards. This includes engaging with vendors around their quantum-readiness roadmap and actively implementing thoughtful, deliberate measures within their organizations to reduce the risks posed by a CRQC.

ESTABLISH A QUANTUM-READINESS ROADMAP

While the PQC standards are currently in development, the authoring agencies encourage organizations to create a quantum-readiness roadmap by first establishing a project management team to plan and scope the organization's migration to PQC. Quantum-readiness project teams should initiate proactive cryptographic discovery activities that identify the organization's current reliance on quantum-vulnerable cryptography. Systems and assets with quantum-vulnerable cryptography include those involved in creating and validating digital signatures, which also incorporates software and firmware updates. Having an inventory of quantum-



4th Round KEMs



Classic McEliece

- Code-based KEM that uses a binary Goppa code
- Solid security with confidence in the security of the 1978 scheme
- Small ciphertext but very large public key and relatively slow key generation

• HQC (Hamming Quasi-Cyclic)

- KEM based on QC-MDPC code
- Offers strong security assurances and mature decryption failure rate analysis
- Larger public keys and ciphertext sizes than BIKE

- BIKE (Bit Flipping Key Encapsulation)
 - KEM based on binary linear quasi-cyclic moderate density parity check (QC-MDPC) codes
 - Public-key and ciphertext comparable to lattice-based schemes
 - The most competitive performance among the non-latticebased KEMs
 - Announced a new decoder in the 5th NIST Conference
 - Reduce impact of new weak key classes in Crypto 2023 paper
 - All the 4th round candidates are code-based key encapsulation mechanisms (KEM)
 - NIST plans to make selections soon

On-Ramp Signatures



- Why NIST called for additional post-quantum signatures?
 - NIST is primarily interested in additional general-purpose signature schemes that are not based on structured lattices.
 - NIST may also be interested in signature schemes that have short signatures and fast verification.
 - Any lattice signature would need to significantly outperform CRYSTALS-Dilithium and FALCON and/or ensure substantial additional security properties.
- Received 50 submissions June 1, 2023 40 of them are accepted as the first-round candidates
- NIST announced 14 candidates to advance to the second round of the additional digital signatures for the PQC standardization process on October 24, 2024

| Multivariate | MPC in-the-head | | | | Code | Symmetric | | |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| UOV | MinRank | SD/Rank- SD | РКР | MQ | Lattice | Code | Symmetric | isogeny |
| Мауо | Mirath | Ryde | Perk | MQOM | Hawk | Cross | FAEST | SQIsign |
| QR-UOV | | SDitH | | | | LESS | | |
| SNOVA | | | | | | | | |
| UOV | | | | | | | | |